

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,655	09/27/2001	Nicolas Bouthors	C66.12-0005	3083
27367	7590 04/21/2006	04/21/2006 EXAMINER		INER
WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A. SUITE 1400 - INTERNATIONAL CENTRE			NGUYEN, DUSTIN	
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319		ENTRE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Nation of Abandanmant	09/965,655	BOUTHORS ET	AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dustin Nguyen	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress
•••			
This application is abandoned in view of:			
<ul> <li>I.          Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office         (a)              □ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of the content of the period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on     </li> </ul>	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·•	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) 🖾 No reply has been received.			
<ul> <li>2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8</li> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	5). s received on (with a Certification	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$		CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no			
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requested Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	uired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	otice of
<ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li><li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trar	smission dated	), which is
(b) $\square$ No corrected drawings have been received.			
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair</li> </ol>		se the period for see	eking court review
7. 🛛 The reason(s) below:			
The office of David Brush, Applicants' attorney, con-	firmed ab <b>a</b> ndonment through tele	ephone on 04/13/2	2006.
	JOHN FOLLANSBEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER (EC:)NO.2004 CENTER 2100		
Detitions to review under 27 CEB 4 427/s) or /h) or received to with de-	but the helding of shanderment under 27	CED 1 101 abauld be	nromath, filed to
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37	OF N. 1. 10 1, SHOULD DE	promptly med to